IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 7265 of 2007

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE AKSHAY H.MEHTA

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

SHRIMANT PROPERTIES PVT. LTD. BHAVANBEN K.SHAH-DIRECTOR - Petitioner(s)

Versus

CHIEF CONTROLLING REVENUE AUTHORITY & 1 - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :

MR RN SHAH for Petitioner(s) : 1,
MS KRUNAL PANDYA A.G.P. for Respondent(s) : 1-2

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE AKSHAY H.MEHTA

Date : 24/04/2007

ORAL JUDGMENT

1. This petition is forming part of the group of petitions i.e., Special Civil Applications no. 7266/2007

to 7276/2007. The said group was decided by the learned Single Judge by order dated 21st March, 2007. In paragraph 6 of the said order, the learned Judge has given certain directions which are reproduced herein below verbatim :-

> 6. As a cumulative effect of the aforesaid facts, reasons and judicial pronouncements, the impugned orders/Notices are violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India as they are non-speaking orders and arbitrary in nature and, therefore, all the impugned orders/Notices passed by the Deputy Collector/ Collector, Stamp Duty Valuation Organisation are hereby quashed and set aside. All the matters are remanded to the concerned Deputy Collector, Stamp Duty Valuation Organization / Collector of Stamps for taking a fresh decision and for passing a speaking order, as per the Act,1958 read with the Rules,1984 and after giving an opportunity of being heard to the petitioners.
>
> In certain matters, it is observed that the petitioners have no option but to approach the appellate authority. They approached appellate authority but the delay has not been condoned. Requisite amount has not been paid or has been paid partly, in preferring the Appeal. Such order of non-condonation of delay are also hereby quashed and set aside. The amount deposited by the petitioners, while preferring appeal, shall be refunded to the petitioners, by the respondent authorities upon proper application and upon proper verification of proof of the deposit of the amount. The parties will be at liberty to make their submissions before the concerned Deputy Collector, Stamp Duty Valuation Organization / Collector of Stamps, which may be dealt with by them while passing the orders in accordance with law. In each of the aforesaid matters, Rule made absolute to the aforesaid extent with no order as to costs.

2. In view of the aforesaid, same directions will

apply to this petition also. Hence, the petition is allowed. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent with no order as to costs.

[Akshay H. Mehta, J.]

/phalguni/